

# Bulletin of the Asia Institute

# Zoroastrianism and Mary Boyce with Other Studies

New Series/Volume 22

2008

Edited by Carol Altman Bromberg

Published with the assistance of the Neil Kreitman Foundation (U.K.)



## Contents

	Ratanbai Katrak Lectures, Oxford 2009: Mary Boyce and	
I D	THE STUDY OF ZOROASTRIANISM	1
Jenny Rose	Introduction (with Editor's Note)	1
Jenny Rose	Bibliography of Mary Boyce (post-1984)	3 7
Elizabeth Tucker and	Ratanbai Katrak Lectures at Oxford	/
Theo van Lint	M P 11 0 ( 7	0
François de Blois	Mary Boyce and the Quest for Zoroaster	9
Albert de Jong	Regional Variation in Zoroastrianism: The Case of the Parthians	17
Frantz Grenet	Mary Boyce's Legacy for the Archaeologists	29
Philip G. Kreyenbroek	On the Construction of Zoroastrianism in Western Iran	47
James R. Russell	Magic Mountains, Milky Seas, Dragon Slayers, and Other	
	Zoroastrian Archetypes	57
Alan Williams	The Re-placement of Zoroastrian Iran: A New Reading of the	
	Persian <i>Qeṣṣe-ye Sanjān</i> of Bahman Key Qobad Sanjana (1599)	79
Martin Schwartz	On <i>Aiiehiiā</i> , Afflictress of Childbirth, and <i>Pairikā</i> : Two Avestan	
	Demonesses (with an Appendix on the Indo-Iranian Shipwrecked	
	Seaman)	95
Mihaela Timuş	Légendes et savoirs périnataux chez les Zoroastriens	105
Yishai Kiel	The Systematization of Penitence in Zoroastrianism in Light of	
	Rabbinic and Islamic Literature	119
Amriddin E. Berdimuradov,	A New Discovery of Stamped Ossuaries near Shahr-i	
Gennadii Bogomolov,	Sabz (Uzbekistan)	137
Margot Daeppen, and	,	
Nabi Khushvaktov		
Zsuzsanna Gulácsi	The Life of Jesus According to the <i>Diatessaron</i> in Early	
	Manichaean Art and Text	143
Henri-Paul Francfort	A Note on the Hasanlu Bowl as Structural Network:	
,	Mitanni-Arya and Hurrian?	171
Ciro Lo Muzio	Remarks on the Paintings from the Buddhist Monastery of	
	Fayaz Tepe (Southern Uzbekistan)	189
Nicholas Sims-Williams	Zandanījī Misidentified	207
and Geoffrey Khan	,	
Dieter Weber	New Arguments for Dating the Documents from the "Pahlavi	
	Archive"	215
David Frendo	Religious Minorities and Religious Dissent in the Byzantine and	
	Sasanian Empires (590–641): Sources for the Historical Background	223
Michael Shenkar	Aniconism in the Religious Art of Pre-Islamic Iran and	
	Central Asia	239

Review	
Kotwal and Kreyenbroek, eds. The Hērbedestān and Nēranges	tān.
Vol. 4, <i>Nērangestān, Fragard 3</i> (Prods Oktor Skjærvø)	257

Books Received	275
Abbreviations	277

Color plates including images from Berdimuradov et al., Zsuzsanna Gulácsi, Henri-Paul Francfort, Ciro Lo Muzio, and Nicholas Sims-Williams and Geoffrey Khan follow p. 170 in this volume.



## On *Aiiehiiā*, Afflictress of Childbirth, and *Pairikā*: Two Avestan Demonesses (with an Appendix on the Indo-Iranian Shipwrecked Seaman)

#### MARTIN SCHWARTZ

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA BERKELEY

#### Part I

Old Iranian tradition may be expected *a priori* to have had the equivalent of Lilith (i.e. an invidious demoness who afflicts pregnant women and newborn children), given belief in a similar demoness throughout the Near East and the Arab world, Central Asia, and elsewhere.<sup>1</sup>

The oldest relevant Iranian material artifacts, the Sasanian seal-amulets, show the demoness in question as, in effect, backgrounded by the promotion of the magical protective god who is her opponent, whose name (earlier taken as  $S\bar{a}s\bar{a}n$ ) I have shown to be *Sesen* (Schwartz 1998a and 1998b; for epigraphic-linguistic evidence for the vocalization *Sesen*, see Huyse 2005, 59–60).

I take this opportunity to summarize and amplify my earlier remarks on this god, and then return to the question of the demoness. The divine name is reconstructable as \*Sasm. The name is first attested in Ugarit, and later in the Phoenician world, in the onomastics of the Old Testament and 7th cent. B.C.E. Assyrian texts, etc. The abundant attestation of the name (which is clearly not Semitic) on Cyprus makes it likely that the divinity originated in Eteo-Cypriot culture,<sup>2</sup> and thence disseminated to Ugarit and the Phoenicians.<sup>3</sup>

From the Phoenicians, the cult of Sesen spread to Aramaic culture, whence the form *Sesen* (~\**Sissinn*-, by long association with the Semitic word for "date-palm branch"). In Late Antiquity, Sesen developed into a general protective god

(especially as Sesengen Barpharanges, the second name an Arameo-Greek form "son of the \*crevasse-goddess," a trace of the god's mythical chthonic origin), and a protector specifically of children against a demoness, a function already found in one of the earliest testimonies, the 7th century B.C.E. First Plaque of Arslan Tash.<sup>4</sup> This function is well attested in the closely related Jewish and Christian *materia magica* of the Late Antique periods. In Iran, where *Sesen* already figures in Parthian theophoric names from Nisa, the childbirth-protecting function of Sesen is clear from the Sasanian seal-amulets (see Gyselen 1995, with Schwartz 1998a).

Now, it is characteristic of the Jewish and Christian material that the developments of Sesen, the protector of childbirth, are manifest in triadic form: the three Jewish angels swny, swswny, and sn(y)gly of the amulets (and similar names on the magic bowls), and the Byzantine saints Sines, Sisin(n)ios and Sēnodōros, etc. On the Medieval Greek side, dyadic forms are also attested: Sisinnios, Sisynodōros; Sisinnios, Sisinnarios; Sisinnios, Bisinnios (with Bi(s)- = Lat. bi(s)- "two-, twice"); Armenian Sisianos and Kiprianos, etc. A slippage between a single, a dyadic, and a triadic representation is found in the Greek text reproduced and translated by Naveh and Shaked 1998, 114–15, in which the saints are listed as a triad, Sisinios, Sinēs, and Sēnodoros, but named as a dyad within a triad: Sisínie, Sínē, kai synodía "O Sisinnios, Sinēs and company" (where synodía "company" in Byzantine Greek has the

same pronunciation /sinoδ-/ as in /sinόδoros/, and where we have the subject as a single saint once called *Sēnodōros* and once called *Sisinios*.<sup>5</sup>

I have already noted that the Sasanian seal-amulets provide epigraphic evidence for the dyadic naming of Sesen (Schwartz 1998a, 256b). I now suggest that the dyadic manifestation appears iconographically in the same material (see Gyselen 1995, p. 31, fig. 16; p. 32, fig. 18; p. 34, figs. 20–23; p. 35, fig. 24, a pair confronting a bestial, probably lupine, demonic entity; and p. 35, figs. 26–29, which probably show a triadic representation of Sesen).

I now return to Sesen's opponent, the demoness who afflicts childbirth. On the one Sasanian artifact in which the demoness is named, the seal-amulet from the Metropolitan Museum, she is called Sesenmarg-Dew (Gyselen 1995, 25 with ref. to Naveh and Shaked 1998; for the vocalization, see Huyse 2005, 59-60). I think this name is best translated "the Demon(ess) Sesendeath," in which we have an ambiguity: Not only may the name indicate that the demoness can bring about "the death of Sesen," but also that she may receive "death through Sesen." While the demoness' own distinctive name may be taboo, it is interesting that there is a parallel for the childharming demoness being named from her enemy: the name of Solomon (who occurs alone or alongside Sisinnios in Christian texts, and alone in Islamic texts) yields names for the demoness in Christian and Islamic lists of magical names: Med. Gr. Solōmōnē, Rumanian Salomnia, and Arabic Salamās, Salamān, vel sim.<sup>6</sup>

I shall now try to show that the ancient indigenous Iranian name of the demoness is retrievable. The 21st Fargard of the *Widēwdād* constitutes, in effect, an elaborate birth-charm. Here the Cow, Clouds, Sun, Moon, and Stars are respectively called upon, via the Holy Mant(h)ra, to exert themselves to counter illness and provide liquidity and fertility, while regularly, in verses 4, 8, 12, and 16, the Vourukaṣ̃a Sea (province of the goddess Anāhitā, who promotes the fluids of pregnant women) is invoked for birth and growth. Most importantly, verses 6–7, 10–11, and 14–15 are directed on behalf of the future mother:

I will now cleanse birth and growth for thee. I will now purify for thee form and force. I will cause thee to be pregnant and bear milk. O fecund one, thou art rich in lactation, in milk, in milch, in marrow, in progeny. I shall purify a thousand sources for thee to pour towards thy breasts, for nourishment of the child.

A spell follows in verse 17, which is the text's climax: "Through conjuration is driven away the Kaxuži, driven away the Aiiehiā, driven away the sorcerous Jahī!" For *kaxužī*, Bartholomae (1904, 160, s.v. *ayehyā-*) convincingly compared OInd. *kuhaka-* "trickster, swindler." *Jahī-* refers to a female, especially one associated with sexual misconduct or sorcery; see de Jong 1995, 28, 31, and 48.7

This leaves  $aiiehi\bar{a}$ . Comparison of Ved.  $ay\bar{a}sya$ - (Wikander 1938, 52) is made highly unlikely by the internal  $-\bar{a}$ - vis-à-vis Av. -a-, and the Ved. mg. "untiring" (a positive attribute of Indra, among others; the synonymous Vedic  $ay\bar{a}s$ - also represents a positive attribute) is not very apt for  $Wid\bar{e}wd\bar{a}d$  21.17. By contrast,  $aiiehii\bar{a}$  is easily explained as the feminine adjectival derivative of the metal-word aiiah-.8 As a regular phonological development,  $aiiehi\bar{a}$  would parallel Old Avestan  $yehii\bar{a} < yahya$ , whereas pre-nasalization and palatalization of h would be expected for Young Avestan; thus  $aiiehi\bar{a}$  is of Old Avestan origin. Cf. the possible OAv. provenance of her antagonist Anāhitā's fixed epithet  $Araduu\bar{a}$  (vs. \* $Ara\delta\beta\bar{a}$ ).

For the original meaning of aiiah-, Vedic áyas-, as "copper and/or bronze" rather than "iron," see Muhly 1973, 175–76; Mallory 1989, 121; and Mallory and Adams 2002, 241. The word is found in three compounds at Yt. 13.45 in reference to respectively the helmet, weapon, and shield of the Frauuašis, where aiiah- has its Bronze Age meaning; cf. Lat. aes, ONorse eir "copper, bronze." Note Yt. 10.96 describing Mithra's mace as cast of tawny metal (zarōiš aiiaŋhō), i.e. "bronze," not "iron." The PIr. word for "iron" is in fact reconstructable as \*answan(ya)- (< \*anśuwan-, the probable ultimate source of Tocharian B eñcuwo "iron," encuwanne "made of iron" = Toch. A añcwāṣi; Khwarezmian (< Toch.?) hnčw "irontipped [weapon],"  $\theta$ :hnčw ty $\gamma$  "[staff] with iron tip." The Pahlavi translation of Av. aiianh(aēna)as āhan/āhen "iron" is due to the later prominence of iron, and the phonic similarity.

The connection of *Aiiehiiā* with bronze is found in the description of Al (the demoness who afflicts babies and new mothers) in two Armenian scrolls, both of which, while differing

in other details, describe the nails (claws) of Al as "brazen, made of bronze" (Russell 1987, 448, and, with regard to a different text, Feydit 1986, 307, "... ses ongles étaient d'airane ..." (the latter reference was kindly provided by Dr. Mihaela Timus) indirectly continuing the metallic conception of Aiiehiiā. Jewish magical bowls speak of Smamit, sister of (sw)sny, etc., going to a mountain and performing sorceries of copper/ bronze and iron against the child-killing demoness (Naveh and Shaked 1998, 189-90), paralleled in Med. Gr. by Meletine building a high fortification in Khalkoprátia (a Constantinopolitan place-name whose occurrence here may reflect something like \*khalkopragía [Naveh and Shaked \*khalkoprágmata] "works of copper/bronze"; see Naveh and Shaked, p. 115 fn. 5 with 112) (the name Meletina, if from Gr. mélitta "bee," like Smamit "spider," would recall the entry of the demonesses in the form of a fly, and her name "fly," Myîa. This may reflect an older version of the tale in which the demoness is the sister of the hero, one of various examples is seen in the text given by Naveh and Shaked, 118; other such texts are found in Winkler 1931, 127-28 seq.). Thus we have an indirect reflection of the demoness herself performing sorceries of bronze and iron. For mention of the two metals together as a means of controlling demons occurs in other texts of the corpus; see Naveh and Shaked, 91–92, 94.

The influence of the aforementioned Middle Iranian reinterpretation of "bronze" as "iron" on coterritorial Jewish tradition may explain why the name of the fiend is found on Jewish amulets and bowls as Sideros, from the Greek word for "iron" (sídēros, masc., whence the gender of the fiend), and in related non-Jewish material the demoness is named as a calque of a Semitic word for "iron" (cf. Naveh and Shaked 1998, 116 and 121). In fact, one may now specify the Semitic source as Hebrew barzel "iron," with b-, rather than the p- of its Aramaic cognate parzəlā: In Coptic hymns in honor of St. Sisinnios, his demoness opponent is called *Berzelia* (> Ethiopic *Werzelya*) and in other Coptic texts Aberselia (etc., see Van der Vliet 1991, 232-33), 10 while in Greek material Gyllou/Gel(l)o(u) has listed, among her names, Bardellous, Anabardalaia, Anabardou, and Bordona (Winkler 1931, 158-59; Perdrizet 1922, 21).

However, the naming of the demoness from "iron" in the Jewish and Christian material is probably independent of the Avestan name

*Aiiehiiā*, since there is no evidence bridging the two bodies of data. "Iron" as the name of the demoness may be explained, paradoxically enough, from the fact that iron was used to repel the demoness (thus Naveh and Shaked 1998, loc. cit.). From Armenia, where iron shears were put under the pillows of women as a talisman against Al, we have a magic scroll reporting that St. Sisianos sees the demoness with iron shears in her hand (Russell 1987, 447–48). This would be an intensification of the paradoxical naming. In general, however, calling the demoness by that which is thought to be inimical to her may be explained as a magical means of weakening her (cf. the demoness being called from Solomon's name, as discussed above). It is in any event copper/bronze which is originally associated with the lilithine demoness in the Iranian tradition.

Aiiehiiā's name would embody the hard and bellicose connotations of bronze, for which one may compare Russell 1983, 250, on the Hesiodic associations with bronze: In the scheme of four ages, the people of the age of bronze lived in houses of bronze, were warlike, and having slaughtered each other, were apparently the first to go down to Hades. The underworldly Tartaros itself is encircled by bronze. Here, bronze betokens "death, darkness, and ancient evil."

It is obvious that the lilithine nature of *Aiiehiiā* follows from the fact that this figure, together with Kaxužī and Jahī Yātumaitī, is exorcised at the end of Widewdad 21, the entirety of which is a charm promoting childbirth. It is likely that in this climactic position all three terms are complementary epithets for the same afflictress of childbirth. As parallel to this complementarity, cf. Hutter 1995-1999 on Lilith's Mesopotamian background: In the Middle Babylonian period, different demonesses merged in the conception of the afflictress of childbirth, lamaštu and (w)ardat lilî and *lilîtu* (see further Farber 1989). The latter two demonesses are referred to as females who "stroll about searching for men in order to ensnare them. Sexually unfulfilled, she is the perpetual seductress of men." The lilîtu (like Lamaštu) "cannot bear children and . . . has no milk but only poison when she gives her breast as a deceitful wetnurse to children" (cf. Byzantine Abyzou "breastless" as the name of the demoness, whence Heb. 'byzw and similar names in various Greek and East European amulets). Lamaštu is also a whore (Winkler 1931, 173). 11 A relevant parallel to the triadic naming of the Avestan demoness is also found, for example, in the Greek prayer of St. Michael (Perdrizet 1922, 24) "I conjure thee, Strangleress (strangaliá) multiform one (polymórphē<sup>12</sup>), . . . Enchantment (Baskosýnē)."

[Addendum: I thank Dr. Mihaela Timuş for reminding me to mention that, as I had indicated in our correspondence, the Avestan figure who combats the child-afflicting demoness of Wd. 21 is probably Anāhitā. We agree that although Anāhitā is not mentioned in the latter text, the parallels with the description of Anahitā in Yasht 5 are clear: "She purifies the semen of all males and the wombs of all females for birth. She gives easy delivery to all females and brings down their milk in due time and measure." The parallelism had been noted by Darmesteter, Le Zend-Avesta, in his preface to Wd. 21. We regard it as important that, as against the Near Eastern tradition, with its aggressive male divine opponent (> opponents) to the demoness, the old indigenous Iranian tradition seems to have had a female figure, a fertility goddess, countering the demoness. I add that my investigation of the Old Iranian magic against a lilithine demoness was inspired by Dr. Timuş' discussions with me on the issue years ago. See now Mihaela Timuş' article in this volume: "Légendes et savoirs périnataux chez les Zoroastriens."]

#### Part II

Another demoness is known in Avestan as pairikā. The Middle Iranian cognates show that Proto-Iranian had parīkā, with the same Avestan shortening of etymological *ī* (probably due to initial stress) as in Av. ainika, paitika (see below),  $jahik\bar{a}$  (=  $jah\bar{i}$ ; see above), and further  $kainik\bar{a}$ "girl," etc. As transition to this topic from the data in Part I, I proceed from the fact that there is evidence which suggests that the Aramaic Lilith was occasionally associated with the West Middle Iranian parīk, another shape-shifting demoness. On an Aramaic magic bowl from Khuzestan, the figure of the child-destroying demoness as the destructive "mother" (a theme found in Syriac, Arabic, and Byzantine material) is replaced by 'm' pryk "Mother Parīk" with Sesen: sysyn 'dwd d'm' pryk (cf. Fauth 1971 [1970], 255). Other Aramaic magic bowls, from Nippur, have pl. pryky' collocated with "temple spirits," and "Astartes," for which Montgomery (1913, 73) suggested connection with Av. pairikā as a beautiful seductive

witch; here \*pryk' may have been associated with the equivalent of Syr. pərakkā "shrine spirit," also mentioned by Montgomery. In addition, in the folklore of the Zoroastrians of Sharifabad, Iran, we find "Shah Pari" as "queen of the malicious pairikās," who is a "stealer of infants," albeit confused with Shah Pari taken as "Kind Paridun" = the healing figure Ferīdūn (Boyce 1977, 63), cf. Timuş in the present volume. I propose that  $\check{s}ah$ -par $\bar{i} < \check{s}ah$ -par $e = \check{s}abpara$ ,  $\check{s}apara$ ,  $\check{s}ap(a)$ rak, etc., "bat" ( < "night-flier") as demonic entity. J. W. Frembgen (2006, 249) has in fact noted that in Southern Pashto the bat is called "shah parak" "flying king," that the equivalent in the north (Peshawar, Swat), "kha-parak" is also the name of a female demon, and that "shaprak" in the colloquial of Iran and Afghanistan was formerly common for "prostitute."

The connection of *parīk* with the Lilith figure may be explained from their shared aspect as succubus (female incubus). The nightmare-succubus aspect of the pairika- is seen from the Avestan tale of Kərəsāspa, to whom a pairikā latches on sexually in Vaēkərəta (Kābul), Widēwdād 1.9. According to the Pahlavi account, Kərəsāspa (Sam/Karsāsp) is killed in his sleep/dreaming in Kābul. The verb for the demoness' attaching herself, upanhacat  $(\sqrt{hak})$ in Bartholomae 1904, 1741) "became companion to, accompanied," parallels the synonymous Aramaic verb 1-w-y "to accompany" used in Aramaic magic bowls for the action of Lilith, whence the subsidiary name of the demoness in Aramaic and Syriac, Malwīţā "The Companion, Accompanier." In New Persian, parīs are conceived of as harming people during sleep with epilepsy or madness (thus Pers. parī-zade "struck by a parī," Hafez and Khāqānī). Most importantly, the meaning "nightmare" for pairikā is shown by the Avesta. In Yt. 13.104 "we have the series 'bad dreams ( $x^{v}afna$ -), bad daēsas (not "omens," but rather "apparitions," cf. Oss. des/dis "astonishment," New Persian des "shape" < "appearance"; cf. Lat. monstrum and Gr. phántasma), bad ōifras, and bad pairikās.<sup>13</sup> Here ōifra is rightly taken as a variant of vifra-, cf.  $\bar{o}i\vartheta r\bar{a} = vi\vartheta r\bar{a}$  "separately." Given the context, ōifra- = vifra- is to be taken as "trembling," with *vifrā*- from Indo-Iranian  $\sqrt{vip}$  "to be agitated, move from side to side" (see Appendix). The Arm. word *vēp* "fable" may be explained as a borrowing from a Parthian word from the same root, originally meaning "fever dream, delusion."

In view of the  $pairik\bar{a}$ 's nature as a sexual figure, with characteristics of a bewitching female

incubus and nightmare demoness, I take pairikā as "the Surrounder" (from PIE \*peri-H<sub>3</sub>kwe-H<sub>2</sub>, see below), representing the figure of the feared female as enveloper, binder, engulfer, swallower, etc. For this figure, note the overlapping materials (although not necessarily the respective psychological interpretations) of Freud's disciple Ernest Jones (1931, 57–98 seq., 190–236, and 241–49 and especially 412-13, with citations of Boerner, Cubash, Delassus, and Macario, on acutely erotic dreams of being enveloped by a witch/demoness lover, accompanied by trembling, etc.), and Jung's disciple Erich Neumann (1955 and 1974, 147-73 seq.). Cf. in addition the Pahlavi epithet of the shape-shifting parīg, wišād-zafar "having wideopen mouth/jaws" in the narration about Srit, who is eventually destroyed by a multiplicity of parīgs  $(Z\bar{a}dspram\ 4.18\ seq.).$ 

Very rich formal support for such an etymology is given in an excellent and informative article, Janda 2008 [2006], 213-19, to which we may add OInd úpāka-; MPers. abāg, Pers. bā < upa; and (like OInd. prátīka-, Av. paitika- < p(r)ati), Vedic  $\acute{a}$ nīka-, Av. ainika- < PIE \* $H_1$ eni, and OIr \*nika > Khwarezmian nyk /nik / < \*ni. Janda, op. cit., 220-24, sees "surrounding, Surrounder" as reference to the magic bonds of the sorceress on her victim. These formal and semantic explanations were already anticipated in detail by Gray 1929, 197. However, \*parīka- would be expected to occur in such a sense elsewhere, but the attestation is limited to the Iranian demoness. More importantly (and this goes against Janda's alternative explanations of pairikā which involve pári-/pairi-), an OIr. directional adjective \*parīka- would only mean "that which is itself situated around something."14 B. A. Olsen's (1999, 34) phonologically intricate attempt to connect pairikā with Arm. harč "concubine" fails with the assumption that a PIE word is at source. 15 Note that Olsen's etymology proceeds from comparison with Old Irish airech, whose alleged meaning "concubine" is refuted by Janda 2008 [2006], 216-18.16 With the elimination of the Old Irish form, only the Iranian and Armenian ones are left as evidence for their alleged Proto-Indo-European etymon for "concubine," of which the Iranian would have to be qualified by a bridging definition "demonic concubine" (dämonische Buhlerin, Pokorny 1959, 789), but the meaning "concubine" is not attested for pairikā and its Middle Iranian cognates, and the sexual aspect of pairikā is not foregrounded. In addition, the problem which Janda pointed out for the alleged antecedent of the Iranian and Irish words: the purely formal reconstruction of an Indo-European word for "concubine" is opaque as to analysis of its basic constituents. *Ceteris paribus*, Arm. *harč* may instead be related to Av. *hāirišī* "a female." For earlier explanations of *pairikā*, see the article *Pairikā* in *Encyclopædia Iranica* (Adhami 2010), which also has useful data on *pairikā/parīg* in Iranian literature; cf. also Panaino, 139. Jamison (2009, 320) lists Av. *pairikā* "witch" and *jahikā* "bad woman" together as examples of OIr. *-kā* suffixation and (fn. 10) cites, toward a "potential etymology" of *pairikā*, references to the "disputed" connection with Lat. *paelex* and Gr. *pallakís* "concubine."

I suggest the following scenario of how the \*parīkā, originally the "surrounding" horrid female—nightmare/succubus/witch—took on the various other aspects reflected for the term. The witch-succubus would have been associated with menstrual (and seminal) contamination, extended, in Iranian mythical thinking, to ruination of fertility in general (cf. Choksy 1989, 94–98), whereby ruination of crops and impedance of rainfall. The latter function led to connection of these demoness(es) with atmospheric and astral phenomena originally associated with other demonesses (Panaino 1990, 139, compares the pairikās' aspect of shooting stars with that of the Old Indic Raksasīs).

Archaic Iran may be expected to have had a multiplicity of evil spirits of female gender, like Vedic drúh- f. "wrongness, that which makes things go wrong" (Vedic generic pl. drúhas). A trace of this situation is found in the Widēwdād's corpse-demoness, druxš yā nasuš, which, incidentally, goes against the predominant but simplistic translation of druj- as "lie," with dualistic counterpart aṣa- (for which "rightness" is a better translation than "truth"). The scarcity of Av. druj- used for specific types of demons can be attributed in part to the pairikās usurping the role of specific types of druj.

The transformation seen for the  $par\bar{\imath}$  in Islamic Iran to a mere beautiful, and generally benign, fairy, may be understood from the marginalization of Zoroastrian lore and traditions, whereby the older topos of the  $par\bar{\imath}(g)$  as a demoness capable of assuming seductive forms yielded the fairy figure (with  $par\bar{\imath}$  associated with par(r) "wing"). In addition, however, there was a long background of fear of and thereby euphemistic treatment of the demonesses. This is already seen in the Avesta,

*Yt.* 8.51 and 53, which reports, disapprovingly, on those who call the *pairikā dužiiāiriiā* ("she who brings a bad season") by the name *huiiāiriiā* "she who brings a good season."

Survivals of the older notion of the *parī* as evil are seen in the Islamic gloss of Arabic *yūl* (Khwar. *arδaw*) as Pers. *dīv-e biyābān, parī-ye jādūyān* "desert demon, parī-sorcerer" (Schwartz 1970, 388); cf. the Av. collocation of *yātu-* "sorcerer" and *pairikā* "witch." Note also *parī-zade* "epileptic, insane" discussed above. In regional Iranian folkore, the *parī* is a capricious, sexually seductive female water-spirit.<sup>17</sup>

[Addendum: With regard to pyryky' on the magic bowls, it is confidently taken directly from Iranian parīkā- on grounds of its constant spelling and semantic similarity to the Iranian word, by Sh. Shaked, "Bagdana, King of the Demons and Other Terms in Babylonian Aramaic Magic," in *Papers in Honour of Professor Mary Boyce*, vol. 2 (Leiden, 1985), pp. 511–25), p. 512, fn. 5. A misreading as an alleged "male pryk'" on a magic bowl is noted by T. Kwasman's review article, "A New Dictionary of Jewish Babylonian Aramaic," *JAOS* 132.1 (Jan.–Mar. 2012), pp. 77–99, p. 95.]

## Appendix: Pāuruua vifra-

The adjective vifra- appears in Avestan at Yt. 5.61, the tale of the shipwrecked pāuruuō yō vifrō nauuāzō "Pāuruua the vifra- boatman." Whereas viprá- (which figures into the related Vedic account of the shipwrecked Paural in Vedic means "inspired seer-poet," no such equivalent occurs in Iranian. The Vedic term would refer etymologically to the agitated state of the visionary, cf. the welldocumented trembling of the Kafiric shaman-seer in trance. In the case of the shipwrecked Pāuruua, the hapax vifra- would merely refer to his being tossed about by the sea. For the Vedic narration, Jackson and Oettinger (2002, 227-28) have shown that viprá- does not refer to Paura himself, but to a figure having some rescuing role. I suggest that the Avestan version is more conservative as to the relevant term, with reinterpretation in the somehow related Vedic version.

#### Notes

Acknowledgments: I thank Stefan W. Zimmer, Alexander Nikolaev, and Alexis Manaster-Ramer for their

helpful comments, and Peter Jackson and Michael Janda for kindly e-mailing me their relevant articles.

- 1. For the rich intercultural connections of the relevant myths and magic, see Schwartz 1998a and Schwartz 2002. In p. 236, fn. 26, the etymology suggested for *Mardās* should be deleted, and in the bibliography, 237, the reference is to Hans, not Hugo, Winkler (H. A. Winkler in the bibliography of the present article).
- 2. It is conceivable that a late reflection of the Cypriot origin of \*Sasm is St. Cyprian in Syriac and Armenian as an ally of Sesen/Sisin/Sisianos in fighting the demoness. The interpretation of the name of a spirit 'Išyy on the First Arslan Tash Plaque (see fn. 4) as "the Alasiot" = "the Cypriot" is controverted. However, 'lyšy', called "the quiver-bearer," is collocated with the divine name hwrwn in the Syriac amulet against liliths and other evil spirits, Gignoux 1987, 28, text line 8. The same page, text line 4, has ssnyg'n, to be interpreted as "afflictions controlled by Sesen." The spelling *hwrwn* here represents  $H\bar{o}r\bar{o}n$ ; for h = h in this ms. see Gignoux 1987, 3. For the relationship between \*Sasm and Horon, see fn. 4 below. For early attestations of \*Sasm, see Becking 1999, 725–26. Note there the reference to P. R. S. Moorey, "A Bronze 'Pazuzu' Statuette from Egypt," Iraq 27 (1965), pp. 33-34; the dedication to ssm br pth seems to indicate that Pazuzu's magic opposition to the demoness who kills babies (in Mesopotamia, Lamaštu), is here transferred to \*Sasm (with  $ssm\ br\ pth\ apparently = ssm\ bn\ pdr$ ).
- 3. Cf. the material in Fauth 1971 [1970], 229–32, 234, 245–46, and 253.
- 4. For the latest translation and bibliography for this amulet, and defense of its authenticity on archeological, epigraphic, and linguistic grounds, see Pardee 1998. The authenticity was also convincingly defended by van Dijk 1992. Regarding van Dijk's observation, 68, fn. 28, that little was known about Hauron (Ḥawrān/Ḥōrōn) when the amulet was first published in 1933, add the evidence for this god's association with \*Sasm (Sesen, Sisin), Schwartz 1998a, 256. In fact, the nature of \*Sasm as protector of child-birth gradually emerged after the first publication of the plaque.
- 5. Cf. Naveh and Shaked 1998, 192 and 196, with a slightly different formulation from mine, and an observation that the Jewish magical bowls vary in treating the angel as one or more.
- 6. See Winkler 1931, 152, for the attestations. Winkler derives these from that of the New Testament figure Salome, noting that fevers are called "the daughters of Herod" in a Russian conjuration. I think it is more likely that a paradoxical Med. Gr. name \*Solōmōnē for the demoness was misunderstood, through partial similarity of names, as referring to the evil and charming woman who demanded that John the Baptist be decapitated.
- 7. De Jong, 27–28, fn. 51, suggests, but is justly skeptical, that in  $kaxu\bar{z}\bar{\imath}$  the  $\check{z}$  may be an Avestan dialectal

equivalent of the  $[r\delta]$  in the attested Av.  $[kax^vr\delta\bar{\imath}]$  and the rz of Khwarezmian \*kxrzv- "sorceress, soothsayer."

- 8. Suffixation of \*-ya-, with adjectival function, is found in other words for metals: Av. zaran-iia- (OInd. hiraṇ-yá-) "gold" (alongside zaran-aēna- "golden," like aiiaŋh-aēna- "made of bronze"), and \*answan-ya-"made of iron" (discussed in the main text). Parthian "swn, Kurdish asin (āsɪn) reflects apophonic \*-un-alongside \*-wan-.
- 9. Cf. Boyce 1987, 511–12, for the Yashts which represent Bronze Age cultures; further Boyce 1984, 11 and (for Yt. 10.96) 29.
- 10. Note also van der Vliet's discussion of Berzelia's alternate name *Alabasdria*, whence it is clear that *Alabasdria* has nothing to do with *Aberselia* or Central Asiatic *Albasty*, etc. The Coptic name *Berzelia* has been known since 1907; see Winkler 1931, 97 and 195, where it is correctly seen as the source of Ethiopic *Werzelya*, etc.
- 11. For the whorish aspect of the childbirth-afflicting demoness in Late Antique material, see Winkler 1931, 169–70.
- 12. Probably *Amorphous*, *Amorphou* in the Medieval Greek lists (Perdrizet 1922, 20) refers to the lack of consistent shape of the demoness, rather than her ugliness. This form (rather than the variant *Morphous* cited by Naveh and Shaked 1998, 119) is the source of *'mwrpw* on late Jewish amulets. *Morphous* via Arab. \**mrfws* probably lies behind the *brqws* (Winkler 1931, 26), etc. in the lists of names of the demoness in Islamic talismans. As for the Med. Gr. variant Mōrra (= Mod. Gr. *mōrá* /morá/ "(female) incubus, succubus," Perdrizet 1922, 23), cf. South Slavic *Mora* (Germ. Mahr), Winkler 1931, 117.
- 13. Note Arm. yuškaparik (Ir. "ass" + parīk) = Gr. onokéntauros "ass-centaur," a horrid midday dream-apparition.
- 14. The OIr. adj \*Parikāna- apparently attested via toponyms and ethnonyms from Aramaic, Elamite, and Greek, assembled by Bivar 1985, 31-32, would refer to a place in greater Achaemenid Persia which was peripheral ("surrounding") some other, more important place; for the suffix cf. OIr. \*Hagmatāna-"Ecbatana." Bivar's view that the Av. pairikā, etc., represents a prudish priestly mythologization based on camp-follower women from the place represented by the aforementioned toponyms/ethnonyms goes against morphological expectations that \*parīka- should yield \*Parikāna- and not vice-versa. In addition, Bivar's explanation leaves unresolved any further etymological analysis. More importantly, it is a priori unlikely that the attestation of the demoness pairikā in the oldest Yashts, which represent the original Central Asiatic location of the Iranian people, would contain an extreme and extensive mythological transformation of (conjectural) prostitutes from a western area which only later would become Iranized and bear an Iranian name \*Parikāna-, attested first in Achaemenian times.

- 15. Cf. Janda 2008 [2006], 216 with fn. 14, contra Olsen's etymology.
- 16. As concerns Janda's view on *airech*, Stefan Zimmer (e-mail of June 23, 2012) notes that Power 1936, 95 showed that this word referred to a legal wife (of second rank). Prof. Zimmer comments further:

"Die Verbindung von altir. [= Old Irish] aire (mask. k-St.) 'freier Bauer, Edelmann' zu airech 'Ehefrau zweiten Grades' . . . ) ist nicht so einfach, . . . den aire selbst ist nicht restlos geklärt. Sowohl \*arya-ka- (so wieder de Bernardo Stempel 1999) als auch \*are-saks-s (so Thurneysen ZcP 20) sind möglich. Daneben stehen noch zwei Homonyme: aire 'das Wachen' (fem. iâ-St.), aire 'die Last' (mask. io-St.). Das (nicht sicher so anzusetzende) Adj. airech 'noble' ist vermutlich nur der Plural des Subst. aire 'Edelmann'. Daneben stehen noch airech 'Packpferd' und das o/â-stämmige Adj. airech 'aufmerksam' . . . Der etymologische Vorschlag, . . . pairikâ . . . = airech 'Konkubine' zu setzen, wird heute meist abgelehnt, v.a. da die airech keine 'Konkubine' ist . . . sondern eine legale, geachtete Ehefrau zweiten Ranges."

17. Similarly Arm. p'ari (cf. Abeghian 1899, 103–4), and more interestingly the Vedic *apsaras*-. In fact the latter concept parallels Av. *pairikā*, MPers. *parīg*, and Pers. *parī*. The *apsaras*- can cause insanity (MacDonell 1898, 134), and is a succubus (female incubus) (O'Flaherty 1984, 276); in addition, according to *AtharvaVeda* XI.9.15, the *apsaras*- terrifies through its having a canine aspect (*śvanvatī*-), cf. in the aforementioned Pahl. account of Srit and the *parīg*, the latter, attacked by Srit, multiplies into a pack of dogs which tear Srit apart. This all points to an old overlap of the Iranian and Indic conceptions of the respective mythical female creatures.

#### References

Abeghian 1899	M. Abeghian. Der armenische
	Volksglaube. Leipzig.
Adhami 2010	S. Adhami. " <i>Pairikā</i> ." <i>EIr</i> ,
	online version—http://www.
	iranicaonline.org/articles/
	pairika, accessed 10 June 2012.
Bartholomae 1904	C. Bartholomae. Altiranisches
	Wörterbuch. Strassburg.
Becking 1995-1999	B. Becking. "Sasam." In Dic-
	tionary of Deities and Demons
	<i>in the Bible,</i> ed. K. van der
	Toorn, B. Becking, and P. W.
	van der Horst, 725-26. Leiden.
Bivar 1985	A. D. H. Bivar. "A Persian
	Fairyland." In Papers in Hon-
	our of Professor Mary Boyce.
	Vol. 1. Acta Iranica 24. Leiden.
Boyce 1977	M. Boyce. A Persian Stronghold
	of Zoroastrianism. Oxford.

Boyce 1984	Textual Sources for the Study of Zoroastrianism,	De Jong 1995	A. de Jong. "Jeh the Primal Whore? Observations on Ira-
Boyce 1987	Manchester "Priests, Cattle, and		nian Misognyny." In Female Stereotypes in Religious Tradi-
Choksy 1989	Men." BSOAS 50.3:508–26. J. K. Choksy. Purity and Pollu-	M D 11 1000	J. W. Hanegraaff, 15–41. Leiden.
Farber 1990	tion in Zoroastrianism. Austin. W. Farber. "Lilû, Lilîtu, Ardat-	MacDonell 1898	A. A. MacDonell. <i>Vedic My-thology</i> . Straussburg.
	Lilî." In Reallexikon der Assyr- iologie und Vorderasiatischen	Mallory 1989	J. P. Mallory. <i>In Search of the Indo-Europeans</i> . London.
Fauth 1971 [1970]	Archäologie, vol. 7, 23–24. W. Fauth. "SSM BN PDRŠŠA." ZDMG 120:229–56.	Mallory and Adams 2002	J. P. Mallory and D. Q. Adams. The Oxford Introduction to Proto-Indo-European and the
Feydit 1986	F. Feydit. <i>Amulettes de l'Armenie chrétienne</i> . Venice.		Proto-Indo-European World. Oxford.
Frembgen 2006	J. W. Frembgen. "Embody- ing Evil and Bad Luck: Stray Notes on the Folklore of Bats	Montgomery 1913	J. Montgomery. <i>Aramaic Incantation Texts from Nippur</i> . Philadelphia.
	in Southwest Asia." Asian Folklore Studies 65:241–47.	Muhly 1973	J. D. Muhly. Copper and Tin: The Distribution of Mineral
Gignoux 1987	P. Gignoux. Incantations magiques syriaques. Louvain.		Resources and the Nature of the Metals Trade in the Bronze
Gyselen 1995	R. Gyselen. <i>Sceaux magiques</i> en iran sassanide. Studia Iran-	Naveh and	Age. New Haven. J. Naveh and S. Shaked.
C 1000	ica, Cahier 17. Paris.	Shaked 1998	Amulets and Incantation
Gray 1929	L. H. Gray. Foundations of the Iranian Religion. Bombay.	Neumann 1955	Bowls. Jerusalem. E. Neumann. The Great
Hutter 1995–1999	M. Hutter. "Lilith." In Dictionary of Deities and Demons in the Bible, ed. K. van der Toorn,	O'Flaherty 1984	Mother. Princeton (repr. 1974). W. D. O'Flaherty. Dreams, Illusions, and Other Realities.
	B. Becking, and P. W. van der Horst, 973–76. Leiden.	Olsen 1999	Chicago. B. A. Olsen. <i>The Noun in Bibli-</i>
Huyse 2005	P. Huyse. "Eine erneuter Datierungsversuch für den	Panaino 1990	cal Armenian. Berlin. A. Panaino. Tištrya. Pt. 1,
	Übergang vom Schluss-y der mittelpersischen Inschriften		The Avestan Hymn to Sirius. Rome.
	zum Endstrich im Buchpahlavi (6–7 Jh.)." In <i>Languages of</i>	Pardee 1998	D. Pardee. "Les documents d'Arslan Tash: Authentiques
	Iran: Past and Present: Iranian Studies in Memoriam David Noil MacKennia ad D. Weber	Perdrizet 1922	ou faux?" Syria 75:15–54. P. Perdrizet. Negotium perambulans in tenebris. Nancy.
Jackson and	Neil MacKenzie, ed. D. Weber, 51–68. Wiesbaden. P. Jackson and N. Oettinger.	Pokorny 1959	J. Pokorny. Indogermanisches etymologisches Wörterbuch.
Oettinger 2002	"Traitāna und Θraēta(o)na,	Dozwan 1026	Vol. 1. Bern.
	Reste urindogermanischer Heldenlegenden." <i>Indo-Iranian</i> <i>Journal</i> 45:221–29.	Power 1936	N. Power. "Classes of Women Described in Senchas Már." In Studies in Early Irish Law, ed.
Jamison 2009	S. Jamison. "Remarks on the Indo-Iranian *- <i>ka</i> - Suffix: a		D. A. Binchy and M. Dillon, 81–108. Dublin.
	Marker of Colloquial Register." <i>Indo-Iranian Journal</i> 52:311–29.	Russell 1983	J. R. Russell. "The Tale of the Bronze City." In <i>Medieval Ar-</i> menian Culture, ed. T. Samu-
Janda 2008 [2006]	M. Janda. "Die <i>Peri</i> aus dem Paradies: Avestische <i>pairikā</i> "	Russell 1987	elian and M. Stone. Chico Zoroastrianism in
Jones 1931	Die Sprache 46.2:213–28. E. Jones. On the Nightmare. London.	Schwartz 1970	Armenia. Cambridge, Mass. M. Schwartz. "Miscellanea Iranica." In Henning Memorial

## s с н w а <br/> ${\tt T}$ z : On $\it Aiiehii\bar{a},$ Afflict<br/>ress of Childbirth, and $\it Pairik\bar{a}$

	<i>Volume</i> , ed. M. Boyce and I.		Name." In Charmes et sorti-
	Gershevitch, 385-94. London.		lèges, Magie et magiciens, ed.
Schwartz 1998a	"*Sasm, Sesen,		R. Gyselen, 231-37. Res Orien-
[1996]	St. Sisinnios, Sesengen		tales 14. Bures-sur-Yvette.
	Barpharangēs, and 'Se-	Van der Vliet 1991	J. Van der Vliet. "Varia Magica
	manglof'." BAI 10 (1998		Coptica." Aegyptus 71:217–42.
	[1996]:253–57.	Van Dijk 1992	J. Van Dijk. "The Authenticity
Schwartz 1998b	"Sesen, a Durable		of the Arslan Tash Amulets."
	East Mediterranean God in		Iraq 54:65–68.
	Iran." In Proceedings of the	Wikander 1938	S. Wikander. Der arische Män-
	Third Indo-European Confer-		nerbund. Lund.
	ence of Iranian Studies. Pt. 1,	Winkler 1931	H. A. Winkler. Salomo und die
	ed. N. Sims-Williams, 9–11.		Ķarīna. Stuttgart.
	Wiesbaden.		
Schwartz 2002	"Qumran, Turfan,		
	Arabic Magic, and Noah's		