Pahlavi = Adiantum capillus-veneris L.: Ethnobotany, Etymology, and Iranian Cultural History

Author(s): MARTIN SCHWARTZ

Source: Bulletin of the Asia Institute, 2012, New Series, Vol. 26 (2012), pp. 97-101

Published by: Bulletin of the Asia Institute, a Non-Profit Corporation

Stable URL: https://www.jstor.org/stable/24878913

JSTOR is a not-for-profit service that helps scholars, researchers, and students discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content in a trusted digital archive. We use information technology and tools to increase productivity and facilitate new forms of scholarship. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

Your use of the JSTOR archive indicates your acceptance of the Terms & Conditions of Use, available at https://about.jstor.org/terms



is collaborating with JSTOR to digitize, preserve and extend access to Bulletin of the Asia Institute



## Pahlavi <mycwls> = *Adiantum capillus-veneris L.*: Ethnobotany, Etymology, and Iranian Cultural History\*

## MARTIN SCHWARTZ

PROFESSOR EMERITUS OF IRANIAN STUDIES, DEPARTMENT OF NEAR EASTERN STUDIES, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY

In this article I shall proceed from a consideration of the mysterious Pahlavi plant-name <mycwls>, which, after discussion of various contingent matters, I shall identify as *Adiantum capillusveneris L*. The Zoroastrian Book Pahlavi word <mycwls> (transliterating the ambiguously valent consonantal spelling) occurs among the other names of plants in *Greater Bundahišn* (*GB*) 16A (my own citation of this text follows the edition of Pakzad 2005). To my knowledge the word has hitherto received two different explanations, neither of which provides an adequate botanical identification.

The more recent is the identification by Gignoux (2010: 168), who transcribes <mycwls> as *mijwars* and equates the word with the well-attested *mijūg*, translating 'lentille'. No independent evidence for <mycwls> as 'lentil' is offered here, nor is any explanation of the last letters of the word given.

However, in the other article treating <mycwls>, Shapira (2005: 82), whose explanation of the first part of the word differs from Gignoux's, proceeded in consideration of a series of associations of various plants with the *Sīrōza* (*Sīh-rōzag*, *Sīh rōzag*) canon of thirty days presided over by divinities. For <mycwls>, Shapira, operating with its association with the day-name *Gōš*, translates and comments, "'Urine-hairs': *mēzwars* – Gōš. The identification is unclear. . . . My translation is based on the association with Gōš, the deified Bull, whose urine is still used by Zoroastrians in their rituals". Shapira obviously takes <-wls> as Pahl. *wars* 'hair', and further has in mind the bull's urine (Pahl.

gōmēz) used as a medium of cleansing. A minor objection to seeing in the alleged \*mēzwars a Pahlavi noun \*mēz 'urine' is that while Pahlavi has mēzišn 'urine' = 'urination' from the verb stem mēz-, there is no noun \*mēz; mēz in gōmēz reflects the second part of the Avestan loanword gao-maēza-. Furthermore, a compound \*'urine-hair', if 'that whose hair is characterized by urine', would both constitute an odd name for a plant, and be unlikely as a bridge between an at best tangential function of an earthly bull and a reference to a "deified Bull".

But the idea that *Gōš* denotes a "deified Bull" is wrong, although it had been set forth by illustrious Iranists. Bartholomae (1910: 508 III), under (gav-) aēvō.dāta- i.e. 'the Uniquely Created Bull', lists what he regards as the divinized Bull par excellence, the "Urrind dessen Seele (urvan-) erscheint", and cites among the attestations for this *Sīrōza* 2.14, *Yasna* 16.4, and *Sīrōza* 1.14, i.e. the passages referring to the 14th day of the 30-day month. Subsequently Boyce (1984: 19) repeats Bartholomae's view and assigns the day *Gōš* to the "Ox-soul".

Old Iranian gaw- is 'bovine', either 'cow' or 'bull'. Thereby, ceteris paribus, for the above passages cited by Bartholomae one may translate gāuš huδāŋhō uruuan- ambiguously as 'soul of the Beneficent Bovine' at Sīrōza 2.14 and Y. 16.4, and gāuš tašan- gāuš uruuan- 'the Fashioner of the Bovine, the Soul of the Bovine'. However, it is clear from Y.29.1–2, in which gāuš uruuan- and gāuš tašan- first appear, we must translate gāuš as 'of the Cow', since Y. 29.2b' has hīm xšaiiantō

'(Ye) ruling Her', referring back to the bovine of Y. 29.2a". Concatenating with Y. 29.1 gōuš uruuanis Y. 29.5 mō uruuā gōušcā aziiā 'my soul and [that of] the pregnant Cow' (see further Schwartz 2003: 215–26 et passim on gōuš uruuan-, gōuš tašan-, their meanings and contexts). In effect, the bovine of Y. 29 (and Y. 28) is an Urkuh. It may be concluded that <mycwls> has nothing to do with urine, and that Gōš does not refer to a deified "Bull", and thus bull's urine as used for cleansing is irrelevant for the phytonym.

In Sīrōza 1.14 and 2.14, the Cow is associated with the female divinity Druuāspā, who presides over flocks and herds of livestock, and conversely Yašt 9, which is dedicated to Druuāspā, is sometimes referred to as Gōš Yašt. These facts accord with an association which is Old Avestan: Yasna Haptanhāiti 39.1 links gāuš uruuānām tašānəmcā 'the Soul of the Cow (and) Her Fashioner' to uruunō pasukanam 'the souls of small livestock'. Furthermore, gāuš tašan- is translated as gōspand tāšēnīdār, whereby gōuš corresponds to gospand; the passage is associated with Sīroza 2.14 through gau-  $hu\delta \bar{a}h$ - 'the Beneficent Cow' occurring in both (referring to provision of milk). Cf. also the translation of Av. gau- pouru.saraδaas Pahl. gōspand purr-sardag e.g. at Sīrōza 2.12 and elsewhere, and the many other instances of Av. gau- rendered as gōspand.

The latter data go with the sense of *Vidēvdād* 21.1 *gaospaṇta-* 'sacral bovine' (Old Iranian \**gauswanta-*) as a substitute for a bovine in sacrificial ritual, sheep having become the most important livestock in the realm of West Iranian economics. The reflex, Pahl. *gōspand*, means both 'cow' and 'sheep'; Pers. *gūspand*, *guspand*, *gūsfand*, *gusfand*, and Kumzari *gusen* mean 'sheep'. It emerges that Pahl. *Gōš* is the day of the mythic Cow, and is associated with sheep as well as cattle, and livestock in general. This fact will figure below in the botanical identification of <mycwls>.

Before returning to the identification of <mycwls>, some preliminary observations are in order. The introduction of *GB* 16A, 'And it also says, every flower (*gul*) has its own *Amahrespand*', is problematic because the actual list includes plants other than flowers, mentions many more divinities than the canonical seven *Amahrespands*, and although the next incipit 'And it says (*gōwed*)' should refer to the Avesta, it is clear that some of the plants listed have names and basic habitats outside the East Iranian Avestan world,

e.g. the Egypto-Semitic  $s\bar{o}san$  'lily' and the Indic  $\check{c}ampak/g$  'champaca' for the Amahrespands  $Xord\bar{a}d$  and  $Amurd\bar{a}d$ . Now, the  $S\bar{i}r\bar{o}za$  canon of a thirty-day month goes back to the Young Avesta, which, with its synthesis of Gathic and non-Avestan divine entities, entered the Artaxerxid court, resulting in the Empire-wide promulgation of a new calendar, which embodies this synthesis, as reflected by such local variants as (to cite an example relevant to our topic) the representation of the Avestan day-name  $g\bar{\sigma}u\check{s}$   $hu\delta\mathring{a}\eta h\bar{o}$  'of the Beneficent Cow' by Parthian  $\langle gwzdh \rangle$ , Bactrian  $\gamma\omega\rho\tau o$ , Khwarezmian  $\langle \gamma w\check{s}t \rangle$ , and (minus the adjective) Pahl.  $\langle gw\check{s} \rangle$ , i.e.  $G\bar{o}\check{s}$ .

Moreover, rather than speak of the relevant plants each having one specific divinity, it is more accurate to say that after the formation of a canon of thirty days named after divinities, various plants were assigned to divinities of the thirty-day month. In addition, the assignment of plant to divinity is complicated by the fact that Ahura Mazdā is represented not only by the first day, but as Creator (Av.  $Da\delta uu\mathring{a} > Pahl$ . Dai) by the eighth day, which precedes that of Ātar; by the fifteenth day, which precedes that of Mi $\theta$ ra; and by the twenty-third day, which precedes that of Daēnā. These days of the Creator are called in Pahlavi respectively (with pad = 'nearby') Dai pad Adur, Dai pad Mihr, and Dai pad Dēn.

Toward explaining the connections of the plants, including <mycwls> with the day-divinities, in GB 16A, Shapira notes formal similarities to explain what he calls "ethnopoetic thinking". His clearest and most convincing examples involve similarities of linguistic form. Such homology is found elsewhere in the GB; one may cite e.g. GB XVIII.2 az wēnīg wēnōg 'from the nose [of the slain Uniquely Created Bull arose the lentil/ vetch' (cf. Zādspram III.46). Shapira adduces formal similarities to explain ādargōnag 'anemone' (lit. 'fire-colored'): Ādur 'Fire', the fourth day; šāhesprahm 'royal basil' (from šāh 'king', cf. Gr. βασιλικόν basilikón 'basil'): Šahrēwar (šahr 'dominion, rule'), the ninth day; and wādrang ī boy 'fragrant lemon balm': Wād 'Wind', the nineteenth day.

In some instances features other than sound must have been involved. The aforementioned cosmogonic association of *wēnīg* 'nose': *wēnōg* 'lentil, vetch' is followed by an aetiology which is visually based: From the blood there arose the source of wine, the grapevine. Similarly perhaps

in the GB plant list, phonic association would account for  $h\bar{e}r\bar{i}g\ \bar{i}\ surx$  'red iris':  $Sr\bar{o}s$  vs. a visual association several items later,  $h\bar{e}r\bar{i}g\ \bar{i}\ zard$  'yellow iris':  $R\bar{a}m$ , i.e. the day of the Avestan god Vaiiu, the beginning and end of whose liturgy respectively stress his golden appurtenances: He has a golden throne, golden carpet, and golden canopy ( $Yast\ 15.2$ ) and golden garments, weapons, and equipment ( $Yast\ 15.54$ ).

Furthermore, we have a contrast in equivalences between  $w\bar{a}drang$  'citron':  $Dai\ pad\ \bar{A}dur$  and  $w\bar{a}drang\ \bar{\imath}\ b\bar{o}y$  'fragrant lemon balm':  $W\bar{a}d$ . The latter phrase points to the role of wind in the dispersal of the fragrance  $(b\bar{o}y)$ , so that we have a realistic dimension in the equation. The real situation attending a plant is obvious for  $n\bar{\imath}l\bar{o}pal$  'lotus':  $\bar{A}b\bar{a}n$  'the Waters'. As we shall see, the realia of <mycwls> explain its connection with  $G\bar{o}\check{s}$ , which clinches the botanical identification.

While Shapira's suggestion for <mycwls>, mēzwars with mēz \*'urine', is not convincing, the morpheme -wars 'hair' calls for further consideration as preliminary to explaining <myc>-. In fact, -wars appears in the Pahl. phytonym gāwars, 'sorghum, giant millet'. I take the synonymous Pahl. gahl, Pers. gāl from  $*g\bar{a}war\theta\bar{a}$ -, with Old Persian \* $\theta$  for \*s; \*- $\bar{a}$ war- > \*- $\bar{a}$ r- as in Parthian ady $\bar{a}$ war, Pahlavi  $ay\bar{a}r'$  helper'; and \*- $\bar{a}r\theta$ - (> \*- $\bar{a}hl$ ) > \*-ahl-, \*- $\bar{a}l$  as OPers. \* $ham\bar{a}r\theta a$ - 'having the same goal' > Pahl. hamahl, Pers. hamāl 'companion'. Cognates exist in East and West Iranian languages for 'millet, Panicum italicum'; see in detail Morgenstierne (1938: 23 and index, 37\*; 1940: 139 = 1973: 169; and 1962: 206 = 1973: 206). I derive their etymon \*gāwarsā- from \*gaw-warsā- 'bovine hair' for the second element, cf. MPers. wars, Sogd. wars, Pashto wušt, etc. 'hair'). Given that yaks are herded in Tajikistan and Afghanistan (and of course in Nepal, where millet is also cultivated in the hills and mountains), one can understand \*gaw-warsā- as based on the plant's resemblance to the thick, ropy hair of the yak, or, since the domestic yak (Bos grunniens) is mated with ordinary cattle (Bos mutus), the reference may be to a hairy hybrid of the two species. Note that GB XVII.5 speaks of the 'blackhaired bovine (gāw ī siyā mōy) with yellow knees' as chief representative of bovines.

What we now need for <mycwls> is a name with -wars '-hair' for a plant associable with  $G\bar{o}\check{s}$  (the day-divinity patron of livestock, including sheep). Pahl. <myc> is in fact attested for  $mi\check{j}$  (= Pers.

muž) 'evelash, evelid'; thus <mycwls> amounts to 'hair of an eyelash/eyelid'. This accords well with names for *Adiantum capillus-veneris L.*, the black maidenhair fern, in reference to this fern's clumps of wiry, shiny black rachides. In the extensive entry on this plant in the 1st cent. C.E. Greek work De Materia Medica, attributed to Pedanius Dioscurides, IV 134 (Wellmann 2004: 279-81), we not only find Greek names referring to this fern's "hair", e.g. πολύτριγον polútrikhon 'many-haired' (whence also Modern Greek for 'black maidenhair fern') and τριγομανές trikhomanés 'haircrazy', i.e. 'wild with hair' (cf. Arab. ša'r al-γūl 'hair of the yūl' and ša'r al-jinn 'hair of the jinn', of which West Arm. diwaiar 'demon's mane' is a calque for the same fern), καλλίτριχον kallítrikhon 'pretty-haired', but also a name approaching the proposed meaning of the Pahlavi: Latin (in Greek letters) supercilium terrae 'the earth's evebrow'. For the latter, cf. Arab. ša'r al-'ard 'hair(s) of the earth' = 'black maidenhair fern'. In the Iranian realm, allusion to hair in naming Adiantum capillus-veneris is also found for Shirazi parī-gīs 'fairy locks, fairy tresses, fairy hair'.

An additional datum in Dioscurides, IV 134, about our fern leads the way to the conclusive botanical identification of <mycwls>/mijwars. After noting that this fern grows wild in various moist places, Dioscurides records one purposeful cultivation: Φυτεύεται δ' ἐπ' ἀφέλεια προβάτων ἐν ταῖς μάνδραις 'It is planted in pens for the benefit of sheep'. This 'benefit' should be the prevention or cure of disease among the sheep, since Dioscurides was concerned with medicinal plants. Fronds of Adiantum capillus-veneris are in fact used in present-day Italian folk-veterinary medicine administered to livestock (Uncini Manganelli et al. 2001: 172, Table 1; Viegi et al. 2003: 233, Table 1). In addition, two Iranian researchers from the University of Gilan, reporting on the same fern's phytochemicals, traditional uses and pharmacology (Ansari and Ekhlasi-Kazaj 2012), conclude (p. 19): "Many of these secondary metabolites [of Adiantum capillus-veneris L.] have been found to possess interesting pharmacological activities and some have served as cures for human and livestock diseases." All this agrees with the presidence of Gōš over livestock, and corroborates the identification of <mycwls> = mijwars as the black maidenhair fern.

There remains for explanation the most common designation for the fern in question. Classical

Persian has par(-i) Siyāvaš(ān) or par(-i) Siyāvuš(ān) whence, with expected vocalic equivalents, the usual Modern Persian form. In the modern minor languages of Iran we find Gilaki par-syāvaš, and for the greater area of Isfahan, Borjian reports Jarquye par-siāwoš, Gaz and Sedeh par-siāvaš, Zefre pär-siāvoš, Qohi par-siāweš, Kamandān gol-parsiāveš, and Jidi par-e θiāvaš. Cf. also the Southern Kurdish sivāwaxšī for the same fern. While par 'feather' for a fern has such parallels as the cognate Gr. πτερίς pterís, Lat. pinnula, and Eng. fern itself, what must be accounted for is the attribution to the epic hero Siyāvaš (Siyāvuš, Siyāvaxš). By contrast, more comprehensible is xūn-i Siyāva/ušān 'blood of S.' for a plant, Eng. dragon's blood, which vields a red resin and dye. For this there was an identification with the mythical tall, leafy, redolent plant which grew from the soil upon which the blood of the slain Siyāvaš/Siyāvuš was shed, described in memorable detail in the Šāhnāma (ed. Khaleghi-Motlagh II: 375, lines 2513-15).

The naming of the fern after the hero can be explained as follows: Allusion to the black rachides of this fern is found in such names for it as Arabic sāq al-'aswad, Turkish baldırıkara 'having black leg(s)'. Reference to both the blackness and the hair-like appearance is not only found in Eng. black maidenhair fern, but also combined in Greek ἐβενότριχον ebenótrikhon 'having ebony hair(s)', given among our fern's Greek names by Dioscurides, and the French capillaire noir. One may reconstruct a Pahlavi equivalent \*siyāwars 'having black hairs' alongside mijwars, with Pahlavi having had more than one term for our fern, as did Greek, Arabic, etc.

In Persian, where Pahlavi wars 'hair' survived only marginally as gurs, both mijwars and \*siyāwars were no longer properly intelligible, but while mijwars disappeared, \*siyāwars was renamed via the similar-sounding Siyāvaxš, Siyāvaš, Siyāvaš. The independently named xūn-i Siyāva/uš(ān), although referring to another plant, may have influenced the formation of par-i Siyāva/uš(ān), where -ān is an attributive suffix. Thereby Persian 'feather of Siyāvaš' replaced Pahlavi mijwars 'eyelid-hairs' as the name for the black maidenhair fern, Adiantum capillus-veneris L.

\* I thank Domenico Agostini, Habib Borjian, Soroor Ghanimati, Raha Musavi, Mahmoud Omidsalar, James R. Russell, and Samuel R. Thrope for

helpfully furnishing information relevant to this paper.

## References

Ansari and Ekhlasi-Kazaj 2012	R. Ansari and K. Ekhlasi-Kazaj. "Adiantum capillus-veneris L., Phytochemical Constituents, Traditional Uses, and Pharmacological Properties: A Review." Journal of Advanced Scientific Research 3(4): 15–20.
Bartholomae 1910	C. Bartholomae. Altiranisches Wörterbuch. Strassburg.
Boyce 2004	M. Boyce. Textual Sources for the Study of Zoroastrianism. Chicago.
Gignoux 2010.2	Ph. Gignoux. "Les noms de plantes médicinales et autres dans les sources pehlevies." StIr 39: 163-70.
Khaleghi-Motlagh, ed. 2008	D. Khaleghi-Motlagh. <i>The Shahnameh (The Book of Kings)</i> . Vol. 2. Persian Heritage Foundation. Winona Lake, Ind.
MacKenzie 1971	D. N. MacKenzie. A Concise Pahlavi Dictionary. London.
Morgenstierne 1938	G. Morgenstierne. <i>Indo-Iranian Frontier Languages II</i> . Oslo.
Morgenstierne 1940	. "'Pashto', 'Pathan' and the Treatment of $r$ + Sibilant in Pashto." <i>Acta Orientalia</i> 18: 138–44 = <i>Irano-Dardica</i> , 168–74, Wiesbaden.
Morgenstierne 1962	"Feminine Nouns in -a in West Iranian Dialects." In A Locust's Leg: Studies in Honour of S. H. Taqizadeh, ed. W. B. Henning and E. Yar- shater, 203–8. London.
Morgenstierne 1973	Irano-Dardica. Wiesbaden.
Pakzad 2005	F. Pakzad. Bundahišn: Zoro- astrische Kosmogonie und Kosmologie. Band I, Kritische Edition. Tehran.
Schwartz 2003	M. Schwartz. "Gathic Compositional History, Y. 29, and Bovine Symbolism." In Paitimāna: Essays in Iranian, Indo-European, and Indian Studies, ed. S. Adhami, 195–249. Costa Mesa.

## s с н w а r т z : Ethnobotany, Etymology, and Iranian Cultural History

Shapira 2005	D. Shapira. "Pahlavi Flowers." In <i>Languages of Iran: Past and</i> <i>Present</i> , ed. D. Weber, 177–84. Wiesbaden.	Viegi et al. 2003	L. Viegi, A. Pieroni, P. M. Guarrera, and R. Vangelisti. "A Review of Folk Veterinary Medicine in Italy as a Basis for
Uncini Manganelli et al. 2001	R. G. Uncini Manganelli, F. Camangi, and P. E.		a Database." Journal of Ethno- pharmacology 89: 221–44.
	Tomei. "Curing Animals with Plants: Traditional Uses in Tuscany." Journal of Ethnopharmacology 78: 171–91.	Wellmann 2004	M. Wellmann. <i>Pedanii Dioscoridis, De materia medica libri quinque</i> . 4th ed. Hildesheim.